Supplemental note for Week 4 Part 1

ver. 20170416-01

Ryoichi Yamamoto

1 Average and variance of the cumulative impulse ΔW_i

Let us start with the Langevin equation

$$m\frac{d\mathbf{V}(t)}{dt} = -\zeta \mathbf{V}(t) + \mathbf{F}(t), \tag{F2}$$

where the random force $\mathbf{F}(t)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$\langle \mathbf{F}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{0} \tag{F3}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{F}(t)\mathbf{F}(0)\rangle = 2k_B T \zeta \mathbf{I}\delta(t),$$
 (F4)

with $\mathbf{0} \equiv (0, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{I} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We now discretize the time t using an increment Δt , such that $t_i \equiv i\Delta t$, and define the cumulative impulse during the interval $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$, as

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}_{i} \equiv \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} dt \mathbf{F}(t).$$
 (F8)

From Eq.(F3), it is straightforward to show

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{W}_i \rangle = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt \langle \mathbf{F}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (F10)

Also from Eq.(F4), for $j \neq i$

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{W}_i \Delta \mathbf{W}_{j \neq i} \rangle = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} dt' \langle \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}(t') \rangle$$
(1)

$$= 2k_B T \zeta \mathbf{I} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} dt' \delta(t-t')$$
(2)

$$= \mathbf{O}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{O} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. For j = i $\langle \Delta \mathbf{W}_i \Delta \mathbf{W}_i \rangle = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt' \langle \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}(t') \rangle$ (4)

=

$$= 2k_B T \zeta \mathbf{I} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt' \delta(t-t')$$
(5)

$$= 2k_B T \zeta \Delta t \mathbf{I}. \tag{6}$$

Combining Eqs.(3) and (6), we obtain

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{W}_i \Delta \mathbf{W}_j \rangle = 2k_B T \zeta \Delta t \mathbf{I} \delta_{ij}. \tag{F11}$$

2 Distribution of $\Delta \mathbf{W}_i$

Here we further divide Δt into n segments $(n \gg 1)$ of a very small time span ϵ , *i.e.*, $\Delta t \equiv n\epsilon$, and define a new cumulative impulse over ϵ

$$\mathbf{W}_{i}^{m} \equiv \int_{t_{i}+(m-1)\epsilon}^{t_{i}+m\epsilon} dt \mathbf{F}(t), \tag{7}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Repeating the same procedure performed in the previous section, the following conditions are derived.

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_i^m \rangle = \mathbf{0} \tag{8}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_{i}^{m} \mathbf{W}_{j}^{l} \rangle = 2k_{B}T\zeta\epsilon\mathbf{I}\delta_{ij}\delta_{ml}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Eqs.(8) and (9) show that the mean and variance of the random numbers $W_{\alpha,i}^m$ ($\alpha \in x, y, z$) are zero and $2k_B T \zeta \epsilon$, respectively.

From Eq. (F8) and (7), we should notice that

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}_i = \mathbf{W}_i^1 + \mathbf{W}_i^2 + \dots + \mathbf{W}_i^n.$$
(10)

Therefore, from the central limit theorem Eqs.(D7)-(D9) introduced in Part 3 of Week 2, one realizes that the $\Delta W_{\alpha,i}$ should be drawn from a *Gaussian* distribution, with average and variance equal to zero and $2k_B T \zeta \Delta t$, respectively, regardless of the distribution of the $W_{\alpha,i}^m$.